1. What were the primary and secondary outcomes?
2. How was subjective clinical improvement defined? What other definitions could have been used?
3. Briefly describe the surgical technique? How does it differ from how the procedure is performed at your institution?
4. What was the sample size calculation based on? What variables were included?
5. What were the loss to follow up rates at 6 and 12 months? How does this affect the results?
6. Was the race and ethnicity of the participants reported? Is this information relevant?
7. What were the objective and subjective clinical improvement rates?
8. What were predictors of poor clinical outcome in logistic regression?
9. Why do you think lysis of adhesions was associated with poor clinical outcome?
10. Were the American Urological Association index questionnaire results reported?
11. What were the limitations of the study? Please include limitations not addressed in the manuscript in your answer.