



Journal Club June 2014
Female Pelvic Medicine & Reconstructive Surgery

Author: Lieschen Quiroz, MD
Editor: Steven Minaglia, MD, MBA

Cost-Utility of Routine Endometrial Evaluation Before
Le Fort Colpocleisis

Padma Kandadai, MD, Michael Flynn, MD, MHS,
Susan Zweizig, MD, and Danielle Patterson, MD, MSc

Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg 2014; 20: 168-73.

1. The introduction is relevant and appropriate. Please comment on what prompted this investigation.
2. Please comment on factors considered when choosing a Le Fort colpocleisis and factors that may affect counseling regarding future endometrial malignancy.
3. Please comment on why the intervention of performing a D&C at the time of Le Fort colpocleisis was not incorporated into the model?
4. Please comment on why EM biopsy or TVUS were incorporated as the default intervention?
5. Considering an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, the ratio of the difference in costs over the difference in outcomes for the interventions being compared, would have been valuable. Was this considered as part of the analysis?
6. Figure 3 shows a cost-effectiveness acceptability curve, which plots the probability that one health intervention is more cost-effective than alternatives. Please comment on how this figure can impact your clinical decision towards “no evaluation”. What other factors would be important to consider?
7. Describe limitations as to the generalizability of this study. The “bottom line” of the study is highly dependent on specific factors, mainly a highly selective hypothesized population.
8. Please comment on the future steps needed to have the current study affect and/or influence guidelines for evaluation prior to Le Fort.