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1. How many patients with morbidly adherent placenta are encountered each year at your center or practice? Briefly outline your protocol for the management of these patients.

2. Identify the primary objectives of the study. Are the objectives relevant to your own clinical practice, why or why not? If not, how would you modify the objectives to be more relevant to your practice?

3. Do you agree with the authors’ label of the study design? Discuss why or why not.

4. Describe the authors’ approach to the timing of delivery and management of patients with morbidly adherent placenta. Discuss similarities and differences relative to your approach. What do the authors mean by modified radical hysterectomy?

5. Summarize the main findings of the study in your own words. Discuss any differences between your appraisal of the main findings and the authors’ conclusions. List additional study information, if any, that would be useful for your appraisal.

6. Review the strengths and limitations listed by the authors and discuss any additional strengths and limitations that you have identified.

7. The authors conclude that it may be reasonable to schedule cesarean hysterectomy at 33 weeks of gestation for patients with identifiable risk factors such as 2 or more prior cesarean deliveries. Will the study findings influence your own practice? Discuss how your practice will or will not change.