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This paper is very well written and organized. It covers an important topic of interest to Nursing Research readers. The analysis follows the classic Baron and Kenny process for addressing mediation and moderation with a few small issues that need to be adjusted. However, the analysis has a very serious problem.

**Serious problem.**

Standard regression techniques appear to have been used. These assume simple random sampling. However, cluster sampling within two levels (schools and then classes within schools) was used in collecting the data. Cluster sampling introduces the possibility of correlations within class and/or school clusters that need to be accounted for. Otherwise, the reported significance levels and conclusions are suspect.

**Other small issues.**

1. Figures 1a and 1b are in the opposite order to that described in the paper.

2. On page 7, it first says no studies have examined these mediating effects, then none have studied these moderating effects. Then on page 8, it says few studies have examined either these mediating or moderating effects. Which is it? none or few?

3. In the first line of page 14, say in what sense the relationship must be "less" rather than just that it must be.

4. On page 15, line 9, the reduction is described as "significant". That term requires that a hypothesis test has been conducted. Describe the test that was used in the Methods Section and report a p-value here.